



Agriculture and Natural Resources



## CONVENTIONAL COTTON VARIETY PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

**Texas AgriLife Extension Service** Nueces County, 2011

**Cooperator: Jungmann Farms** 

Authors: Jeffrey R. Stapper, County Extension Agent -AG/NR Dr. Dan D. Fromme, Assistant Professor and Extension Agronomist J.R. Cantu, Ag Demonstration Assistant

#### **Summary**

This test was located on the Jungmann Farm, north of Bishop on FM 3354. Soil conditions at planting were fair. Eight commercial cotton varieties were evaluated for agronomic performance. The best numerically performing variety in this test was ARK 222-12 at 994 pounds per acre lint yield and it also generated the highest lint value at \$525.32 per acre, using the loan value. Statistically the lint yield of ARK 222-12 was not different from ARK 114-53, ARK 9803-23-04, or SSG HQ210CT. The plot lint yield average for this test was 934 pounds per acre.

## **Objective**

To evaluate commercially available conventional cotton varieties growing under Nueces County conditions in a replicated evaluation.

## **Materials and Methods**

Cotton varieties were planted in a replicated study with three replications in a randomized complete block design. Each variety plot consisted of 6 rows, 975 feet in length. Soil moisture conditions at planting were fair at planting depth. Stand counts were taken at three areas in the field for each variety approximately one month following planting. Rainfall was below normal. The monthly rainfall received was; March=0.87 inches, April=0 inches May = 1.54 inches, June = 0.56 inches, for a total of 2.97 inches from planting through harvest. Plots were harvested on July 27, 2011 with a John Deere Stripper. Seed cotton from 0.33 acre was weighed in the field at harvest using an electronic scale equipped cotton weigh-wagon. Random grab samples were collected from each variety at weighing for lint turn-out and fiber quality analysis. Fiber analysis was conducted by the Fiber & Bio-polymer Research Institute using standard HVI classing procedures.

e					
Planting Date: 3/09/2011	Rows/Plot: 6 - with 3 replicates	Row Width: 30 inch			
Haevest Date: 7/27/11	Plot Length 975 ft				
Fertility:	Herbicide: 1.5 qt/A Trust	Previous Crop:			
220# 25-5-0	1 qt/A Roundup	Sorghum			
	0.10 oz/A Invoke				
	10 oz/A Arrow				
Planting Rate:	Soil Type:	Insecticide:			
55,000 plants/Ac	Victoria clay	Seed treatment			

# Table 1: Agronomic data for Conventional Cotton Variety Performance Demonstration, Jungman Farm, Bishop, (Nueces County), Texas, 2011.

## **Results and Discussion**

The data table below provides a comparison of data on plant population and lint yield per acre.

Table 2. Comparison of cotton plant population and lint yield between varieties,	
Jungmann Farm, Nueces County, Texas, 2011.	

Variety	Plant Population per Acre	Lint Yield (pounds/acre)				
ARK 222-12	41,121	994.3 a				
ARK 114-53	40,637	991.3 a				
ARK 9803-23-04	37,250	963.3 ab				
SSG HQ210CT	38,702	935.3 abc				
SSG HQ212CT	37,734	920.7 bcd				
ALL TEX LA122	37,250	919.0 bcd				
SSG HQ120CT	38,702	889.7 cd				
ALL TEX 7A21	35,315	860.3 d				
AVERAGE	38,339	934.2				

Variety	L	int	Turne	out	Micro	naire	Len	gth	Strength Uni		Uniformity		Loa	Loan		Lint	
	(lb	s/ac)	%				(incl	ies)	(g/tex)				Value		Value		
									_				(¢/lb)		(\$/ac)		
ARK																	
222-12	994	a	41.37	b	4.3	a	1.09	a	29.0	b	81.9	a	52.88	a	525.32	а	
ARK																	
114-53	991	ab	39.5	с	4.4	а	1.05	b	28.2	bcd	81.2	ab	51.35	b	508.80	а	
ARK																	
9803-23-04	963	ab	39.9	bc	4.2	a	1.10	a	31.1	а	81.8	a	53.47	а	515.15	a	
SSG																	
HQ210CT	935	abc	39.07	с	4.2	a	1.01	с	28.2	bcd	79.4	с	48.92	с	457.77	b	
SSG																	
HQ212CT	921	bcd	38.47	с	4.1	а	1.00	с	28.2	bcd	79.4	с	48.82	с	449.38	b	
AT																	
LA122	919	bcd	43.8	a	4.3	a	1.01	с	27.5	cd	79.8	bc	49.03	с	449.86	b	
SSG																	
HQ120CT	890	cd	39.97	bc	4.7	a	1.01	с	27.0	d	81.5	a	49.68	с	442.03	b	
AT																	
7A21	860	d	41.17	b	4.2	а	1.06	b	28.5	bc	81.0	ab	52.43	ab	451.06	b	
Mean	93	4.21	40.4	Ļ	4.3	1	1.04		28.46		80.75		50.82		474.92		
P>F	0.0	)142	0.000	)2	0.11	74	0.0001		0.0009		0.0106		0.0001		0.0002		
LSD P=.05)	72	2.22	1.64	5	NS	5	0.0224		1.416		1.566		1.36		33.67		
STD DEV	41	.23	0.94	ŀ	0.20	)3	0.01	0.0128 0.8		0.809 0.894		0.7765		19.23			
CV%	4	.41	2.33	3	4.7	2	1.2	1.23 2.84		1.11		1.53		4.05			

Table 3. Comparison of lint yield, lint quality, and loan value ranked by highest gross income per acrebetween varieties, Jungmann Farm, Nueces County, Texas, 2011.

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, LSD)

## **Conclusions**

Despite below normal rainfall during the growing season, the varieties in this test performed well with lint loan values ranging from \$451 to \$525 per acre. There was not a statistical difference in pounds of lint produced per acre between the top four varieties as yields ranged from 935 to 994 pounds of lint per acre.

## **Acknowledgements**

The cooperation and support of Edward and Russell Jungmann for implementing this demonstration is appreciated. Special thanks go to Bayer CropScience for making their electronic cotton weigh-wagon available during harvest in order to obtain seed cotton weights from the entire test area.

Trade names of commercial products used in this report is included only for better understanding and clarity. Reference to commercial products or trade names is made with the understanding that no discrimination is intended and no endorsement by Texas AgriLife Extension Service and the Texas A&M University System is implied. Readers should realize that results from one experiment do not represent conclusive evidence that the same response would occur where conditions vary.